UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

ANTHONY DIAZ, Individually, and CHRISTINE DIAZ, Individually, and ISABELLE MARIE DIAZ, a Minor, by her Father and Next Friend, ANTHONY DIAZ, LUCAS DIAZ, a Minor, by his Father and Next Friend, ANTHONY DIAZ **Plaintiffs** WATER HINGE BOX

0 4 AS 1 CIVIL ACTION	2	6	6	4	DPW
CIVIL ACTION	ON N	1 0:			

BAYBUTT CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION,

v.

Defendant

RECEIPT共 AMOUNT \$15 SUMMONS ISSUED_ **LOCAL RULE 4.1_** WAIVER FORM. MCF ISSUED.

PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT AND CLAIM FOR TRIAL BY JURY ON ALL COUNTS

JURISDICTION

- 1. Plaintiff Anthony Diaz is a citizen of the state of Massachusetts and resides at 70 Ames Street, Lawrence, Essex County, Commonwealth of Massachusetts. Plaintiff Christine Diaz is a citizen of the state of Massachusetts and resides at 70 Ames Street, Lawrence, Essex County, Commonwealth of Massachusetts. Plaintiff Isabelle Marie Diaz is a citizen of the state of Massachusetts and resides at 70 Ames Street, Lawrence, Essex County, Commonwealth of Massachusetts. Plaintiff Lucas Diaz is a citizen of the state of Massachusetts and resides at 70 Ames Street, Lawrence, Essex County, Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- 2. Defendant, Baybutt Construction Corporation, is a citizen of the state of New Hampshire, with a principle place of business located at 25 Avon Street, Keene, New Hampshire.
- 3. Jurisdiction in this court in on the basis of diversity of citizenship pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1332. This action is of a civil nature involving, exclusive of interest and costs, a sum in excess of \$75,000. Every issue of law and fact is wholly between plaintiffs who all reside in and are citizens of states different from those in which the defendant resides and is a citizen.

PARTIES

- 4. The plaintiff, Anthony Diaz (hereinafter "Mr. Diaz") brings this action. At all material times, the plaintiff Mr. Diaz has resided at 70A Ames Street, Lawrence, Essex County, Commonwealth of Massachusetts. At all material times, the plaintiff was a mason tender, employed by G.N. Prunier and Sons, Inc., (hereinafter "G.N.") a Massachusetts corporation, to perform masonry duties at a construction site located at 365 Main Street, Acton, MA 01720.
- 5. The plaintiff, Christine Diaz, is the wife of Anthony Diaz and at all material times has resided at 70A Ames Street, Lawrence, Essex County, Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- 6. The plaintiff, Isabelle Marie Diaz, a minor, is the daughter of Anthony Diaz and at all material times has resided at 70A Ames Street, Lawrence, Essex County, Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- 7. The plaintiff, Lucas Diaz, a minor, is the son of Anthony Diaz and at all material times has resided at 70A Ames Street, Lawrence, Essex County, Commonweath of Massachusetts.
- 8. The defendant, Baybutt Construction Corporation, (hereinafter "Baybutt") is a New Hampshire corporation, with a principle place of business located at 25 Avon Street, Keene, New Hampshire 03431. At all material times, the defendant, Baybutt, individually and/or as a joint venturer was the general and/or prime contractor on a construction project at 365 Main Street, Acton, Middlesex County, Commonwealth of Massachusetts and as such was responsible for jobsite safety.

FACTS

- 9. On June 29, 2004, the plaintiff, Mr. Diaz, was working within the scope of his employment for G.N., as a mason tender, on a construction project located at 365 Main Street, Acton, Middlesex County, Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- 10. On June 29, 2004, Baybutt, the general contractor for the construction project located at 365 Main Street, Acton, Middlesex County, Commonwealth of Massachusetts, failed to properly supervise and oversee the overall job site safety and supervision of all subcontractors and people on the job site.
- 11. On June 29, 2004, Mr. Diaz, who is approximately 5'3" tall, was working within the scope of his employment as a mason tender on staging/scaffolding under the control/supervision of Baybutt, without a safety belt or any other fall restraints, at the construction site located at 365 Main Street, Acton, Middlesex County, Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

- 12. On June 29, 2004, Mr. Diaz was working on the scaffolding approximately twenty (20) feet off the ground, performing his masonry duties, and attempted to use a ladder that was not secured properly to the staging, which caused it to fall away from the staging and Mr. Diaz was thrown to the ground. At the time of the fall, Mr. Diaz was carrying a cinder block weighing approximately fifty pounds, which landed upon his right hand, crushing the hand.
- 13. The plaintiff, Mr. Diaz, not provided with a safety harness or fall protection, was thrown from the staging and fell approximately twenty (20) feet to the ground below, where he sustained very serious personal injuries.

COUNT I

ANTHONY DIAZ V. BAYBUTT CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION (NEGLIGENCE)

- 14. The plaintiff repeats and incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 13 of the plaintiffs' complaint.
- 15. On and prior to June 29, 2004, the defendant, Baybutt, individually and/or as a joint venturer, was the general and/or prime contractor on a construction project located at 365 Main Street, Acton, Middlesex County, Commonwealth of Massachusetts, and Baybutt was in control of said project and was responsible with non-delegable duties for safety under 29CFR 1926.16(a), (b), (c), and (d) and 441 CMR 10.03 for jobsite safety for all people present on the site and maintenance of the construction site as a safe environment for all workers, and instead it was dangerous and defective.
- 16. As a result of the negligence of the defendant, Baybutt, individually and/or as a joint venturer and/or by its agents, servants and employees on said construction project, and as a result of the negligence of the defendant, Baybutt, in the performance of its non-delegable duties as the general or prime contractor on said project, and as a result of the negligence of the defendant, Baybutt, in its control, joint control, supervision, inspection and superintendence on said project and as a result of the negligence of the defendant, Baybutt, in failing to give adequate warnings and proper instruction, the plaintiff, Mr. Diaz, was caused to be seriously injured, be disabled, incur hospital and medical expenses and to suffer severe pain of body and mind.
- 17. As a result of said injury, the plaintiff, Mr. Diaz, suffered great pain of body and mind in the past and in the future, was caused to be incapacitated for a substantial period of time, to be permanently disabled, was unable to perform his usual duties in the past and in the future, experienced loss of earning capacity, and was caused to incur great expense for medical attention in the past and in the future.

WHEREFORE the plaintiff, Anthony Diaz, individually demands judgment in his favor against the defendant, Baybutt, for damages in an amount of money to be determined by a jury, plus interest and costs.

COUNT II

ANTHONY DIAZ V. BAYBUTT CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION (VIOLATION OF M.G.L. CHAPTER 93A)

- 18. The plaintiff repeats and incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 17 of the plaintiffs' complaint.
- 19. The defendant, Baybutt, failed to make a reasonable offer of settlement in response to the plaintiffs' demand for relief under Chapter 93A, §9.
- 20. The failure of the defendant, Baybutt, to make a reasonable response to the plaintiffs' demand for relief under Chapter 93A constitutes an unfair and/or deceptive act and/or practice.
- 21. The unfair and/or deceptive acts and/or practices of the defendant, Baybutt, were knowing and/or willful.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff, Anthony Diaz, individually, demands judgment for his damages against the defendant, Baybutt, pursuant to Mass. Gen. L. c. 93A, plus double or treble damages, attorney's fees, and interest and costs.

COUNT III

ANTHONY DIAZ V. BAYBUTT CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION (VIOLATION OF M.G.L. C. 143 §51)

- 22. The plaintiff repeats and incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 21 of the plaintiffs' complaint.
- 23. Prior to June 29, 2004, the defendant, Baybutt, applied for and received a building permit for the construction work at 365 Main Street, Acton, Massachusetts and individually and/or as a joint venturer was the general and/or prime contractor on the construction project, was in control or joint control of said project, and had non-delegable duties for safety under 29 CFR 1926.16(a), (b), (c), and (d), 441 CRM 10.03 and the state building code.
- 24. As a result of the negligence of the defendant, Baybutt, individually and/or as a joint venturer and/or by its agents, servants and employees on said construction project, and as a result of the negligence of the defendant, Baybutt, in the performance of its non-delegable duties as the general or prime contractor on said project, and as a result of the negligence of the defendant, Baybutt, in its control, joint control, supervision, inspection and superintendence on said project and as a result of the negligence of the defendant, Baybutt, in failing to give adequate

warnings and proper instruction and other violations of the state building code, C. 143 §51, the plaintiff, Anthony Diaz, was caused to be seriously injured, be disabled, incur hospital and medical expenses, a permanent loss of earning capacity, and to suffer pain of body and mind.

25. As a result of said injury, the plaintiff, Anthony Diaz, suffered great pain of body and mind in the past and in the future, was caused to be incapacitated for a substantial period of time, to be permanently disabled, was unable to perform his usual duties in the past and in the future, lost wages, and was caused to incur great expense for medical attention in the past and in the future.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff, Anthony Diaz, prays judgment in his favor from the defendant, Baybutt, in a fair and full amount for all injury suffered and damages (past, present and future) plus costs and interest.

COUNT IV

CHRISTINE DIAZ V. BAYBUTT CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION (LOSS OF CONSORTIUM)

- 26. The plaintiff repeats and incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 25 of the plaintiffs' complaint.
- 27. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of the defendant, Baybutt, the plaintiff, Christine Diaz, individually, has been deprived of the full services, society and affection of her husband, Anthony Diaz.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff, Christine Diaz, individually, prays judgment in her favor against the defendant, Baybutt, for loss of consortium in an amount of money to be determined by a jury, plus interest and costs.

COUNT V

ISABELLE DIAZ V. BAYBUTT CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION (LOSS OF CONSORTIUM)

- 28. The plaintiff repeats and incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 27 of the plaintiffs' complaint.
- 29. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of the defendant, Baybutt, the plaintiff, Isabelle Diaz, a minor, the daughter of Anthony Diaz, has been deprived of the full services, society and affection of her father, Anthony Diaz.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff, Isabelle Diaz, a minor, the daughter of Anthony Diaz, prays judgment in her favor against the defendant, Baybutt, for loss of consortium in an amount of money to be determined by a jury, plus interest and costs.

COUNT VI

LUCAS DIAZ V. BAYBUTT CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION (LOSS OF CONSORTIUM)

- 30. The plaintiff repeats and incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 29 of the plaintiffs' complaint.
- 31. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence and carelessness of the defendant, Baybutt, the plaintiff, Lucas Diaz, a minor, the son of Anthony Diaz, has been deprived of the full services, society and affection of his father, Anthony Diaz.

WHEREFORE, the plaintiff, Lucas Diaz, a minor, the son of Anthony Diaz, prays judgment in his favor against the defendant, Baybutt, for loss of consortium in an amount of money to be determined by a jury, plus interest and costs.

THE PLAINTIFF DEMANDS TRIAL BY JURY ON ALL COUNTS

The plaintiffs, By their attorney,

Frederic N. Halström

BBO# 218420

P.O. Box 121203-Lafayette Station

Boston, Massachusetts 02112

(617) 262-1060

Dated: 13 Dec.04

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Categ	orv in whic	h the case belongs ba	sed upon the	numbered natur	e of suit code	listed on t	he civil	cover sheet	t. (See	
-	rule 40.1(a)	_			i i		Service Control		(0.0	
	l.	160, 410, 470, R.23,	REGARDLE	SS OF NATURE O	F SUIT.				1	
	II.	195, 368, 400, 440, 740, 790, 791, 820*	•				•	ete AO 120 rademark o		
X	III.	110, 120, 130, 140, 315, 320, 330, 340, 380, 385, 450, 891.	345, 350, 35							
	IV.	220, 422, 423, 430, 690, 810, 861-865,			640 69 , 6 9 4	.]	ξ 2	6		
	٧.	150, 152, 153.								
		, if any, of related casse indicate the title and	•			prior rela	ited case	e has been t	filed in	
Has a	prior actio	n between the same p	arties and ba	sed on the same		en filed in		ırt?		
Dose	the compla	int in this case questio	on the coneti	tutionality of an a	YES	affecting	NO	X	(See	
	GC §2403)	mem mas case question	on the consti	iononanty or an a	ct or congress	anecung	uie pubi	ic iliterestr	(See	
								f		
If so, i	is the U.S.A	. or an officer, agent o	or employee	of the U.S. a party	YES		NO	X		
If so, i	is the U.S.A	or an officer, agent o	or employee (of the U.S. a party			NO NO	<u>x</u>		
		· ·			? YES		NO		147	
		o. or an officer, agent o			? YES	pursuant	NO		4?	
Is this	s case requ l of the part achusetts (· ·	etermined by	a district court o	? YES If three judges YES of the united s	pursuant	NO to title 2 NO the Cor	8 USC §228	n of	
Is this Do <u>all</u> Massa	s case requ l of the part achusetts (ired to be heard and d	etermined by	a district court o	? YES If three judges YES of the united s	pursuant	NO to title 2 NO the Cor	8 USC §228	n of	
Is this Do <u>all</u> Massa	s case requ l of the part achusetts (ired to be heard and d	etermined by luding gover les"), residin	a district court o nmental agencies ng in Massachuse	? YES If three judges YES of the united starts reside in the	pursuant states and se same d	NO to title 2 NO the Cor ivision?	8 USC §228	n of	1
Is this Do <u>all</u> Massa	s case requ l of the part achusetts (l)).	ired to be heard and d ies in this action, exc "governmental agenci	etermined by luding gover les"), residii	a district court o nmental agencies ng in Massachuse	? YES If three judges YES of the united setts reside in the YES mental parties	pursuant states and le same d X reside?	NO to title 2 NO the Cor ivision?	8 USC §228 X nmonwealtr	n of	
Is this Do <u>all</u> Massa	s case requ l of the part achusetts (l)).	ired to be heard and d ies in this action, exc "governmental agenci	etermined by luding gover les"), residing ision do all o	nmental agencies ng In Massachuse of the non-govern Central Divis	YES If three judges YES of the united setts reside in the YES mental parties	pursuant states and le same d reside?	NO to title 2 NO the Cor lvision?	8 USC §228 X nmonwealth - (See Loc	n of al Rule	
Is this Do <u>all</u> Massa	s case requ of the part achusetts (i)).	ired to be heard and dies in this action, exci "governmental agenci If yes, in which div Eastern Division	etermined by luding gover les"), residing ision do all o	nmental agencies ng In Massachuse of the non-govern Central Divis	? YES If three judges YES of the united states reside in the YES mental parties ion ntiffs or the on	pursuant states and reside? Vily parties	NO to title 2 NO the Cor lvision?	8 USC §228 X nmonwealth - (See Loc Division ing governm	n of al Rule	
Is this Do <u>all</u> Massa 40.1(d	s case requ of the part achusetts (ii)). A. B.	ired to be heard and dies in this action, exci "governmental agenci If yes, in which div Eastern Division If no, in which divis agencies, residing	etermined by luding gover les"), residin ision do all o X sion do the m i in Massach	nmental agencies of the non-govern Central Divis lajority of the plai usetts reside? Central Divis	? YES If three judges YES Of the united stats reside in the YES mental parties ion Intiffs or the ontion	pursuant states and re same d reside?	NO to title 2 NO the Cor lvision? NO Western I	8 USC §228 X nmonwealth - (See Loc Division ing governm	n of al Rule	
Is this Do <u>all</u> Massa 40.1(d	s case requ of the part achusetts (ii)). A. B.	ired to be heard and d ies in this action, exci "governmental agencl If yes, in which div Eastern Division If no, in which divis agencies, residing Eastern Division of Removal - are there	etermined by luding gover les"), residin ision do all o X sion do the m i in Massach	nmental agencies of the non-govern Central Divis lajority of the plai usetts reside? Central Divis	? YES If three judges YES Of the united stats reside in the YES mental parties ion Intiffs or the ontion	pursuant states and re same d reside?	NO to title 2 NO the Cor lvision? NO Western I	8 USC §228 X nmonwealth - (See Loc Division ing governm	n of al Rule	

SJS 44 (Rev. 3/99)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor suppliment the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEEINSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

Isabe	iaz, Anthony , Christine, elle M., Dia	Diaz, z, Lucas		DEFENDANT Baybutt C County of Residen NOTE: IN LAND II	Construction (confirst Listed Chesh (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES CONDEMNATION CASES, USE NVOLVED.	ire, NH				
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name	, Address, and Telephone N	(umber)		Attorneys (If Kno	wn)					
Halstrom Law Frederic N. P.O. Box 121	Halstrom 203 Boston,	MA 02112		VENTENCHIN OF PI	DINCIDAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff				
II. BASIS OF JURISD	CTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)		or Diversity Cases Only)	KIII AB I MILIAGO	and One Box for De fendant)				
☐ 1 U.S. Govornment ☐ 3 Federal Question Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)			·	Citizen of This State X 1						
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	Defendant (Indicate Citi zenship of Parties			Citizen of Another State □ 2 □ 2 Incorporated and Principal Place □ 5 ☑ 5 of Business in Another State						
in Item III)			С	itizen or Subject of a	3 □ 3 Foreign Nation					
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in O		F	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES				
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of 154 Recovery of Defauted Student Loans (Excl. Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Force losure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	315 Airplane Product	PERSONAL INJU 362 Personal Injury- Med. Malpracti 365 Personal Injury- Product Liability 368 Asbestos Perso Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPE 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lendin 380 Other Personal Property Dama, Property Dama Broduct Liability PRISONER PETTI 510 Motions to Var Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 De ath Penalty 540 Mandamus & 6	yy Canal Can	620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure of Propeny 21 USC 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs.	B64 SSID Title XVI S65 RS I (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS R70 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	895 Freedom of Information Act				
V. ORIGIN (PLA	I formo i o a mon.	Remanded from			sferred from er district ify)					
VI. CAUSE OF ACT	ION (Cite the U.S. Civil She Do not cite jurisdiction) 332-Diveris U.CHECK IF THE UNDER F.R.C. (See	ty Jurisd	_{icti}	d write brief statement of cause	l Injury from	n Fall. By if demanded in complaint:				
IF ANY		JUDG E SIGNATURE OF	ATTOR	NEY OF RECORD	DOCKET NUMBER					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT #	AMOUN	APPLYING IF	Р	JUDGE	MAG. J	UDGE				